Positive psychotherapy: A strength-based approach

Tayyab Rashid


To link to this article: http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/17439760.2014.920411

Published online: 09 Jun 2014.

Article views: 1677

View related articles

View Crossmark data

Citing articles: 1 View citing articles
Positive psychotherapy: A strength-based approach

Tayyab Rashid*

Health & Wellness Centre, University of Toronto Scarborough, Toronto, Ontario, Canada
(Received 15 March 2014; accepted 8 April 2014)

Positive psychotherapy (PPT) is a therapeutic approach broadly based on the principles of positive psychology. Rooted in Chris Peterson’s groundbreaking work on character strengths, PPT integrates symptoms with strengths, resources with risks, weaknesses with values, and hopes with regrets in order to understand the inherent complexities of human experiences in a way that is more balanced than the traditional deficit-oriented approach to psychotherapy. This paper makes the case of an alternative approach to psychotherapy that pays equal attention and effort to negatives and positives. It discusses PPT’s assumptions and describes in detail how PPT exercises work in clinical settings. The paper summarizes results of pilot studies using this approach, discusses caveats in conducting PPT, and suggests potential directions.
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Positive psychotherapy (PPT) is a therapeutic approach based on a premise, articulated and empirically explored by Chris Peterson, who emphasized that psychology ought to be concerned with strength as well as weakness; as interested in building the best things in life as in repairing the worst; and as concerned with making lives of normal people fulfilling as with healing pathology (Peterson, 2006a). Strongly influenced by Peterson’s seminal work Classification of Virtues and Strengths (CVS; Peterson & Seligman, 2004), PPT which integrates symptoms with strengths, resources with risks, weaknesses with values, and hopes with regrets in order to understand inherent complexities of human experiences in a balanced way. Clients seeking therapy are neither mere conglomerate of symptoms nor embodiments of strengths. PPT systematically amplifies their positive resources; specifically, positive emotions, character strengths, meaning, positive relationships, and intrinsically motivated accomplishments. PPT neither suggests that other psychotherapies are negative nor aims to replace well-established practices. PPT is refocusing rather than revamping therapeutic regimens. It is not meant to be paradigm shift; it is an incremental change to balance therapeutic focus on strengths and weaknesses.

An improvement of psychotherapy via an alternative perspective

Psychotherapy’s focus on alleviation of symptoms is understandable. The human mind defaults towards negativity such that it responds more strongly to negatives than to positives (Rozin & Royzman, 2001). Negative impressions and stereotypes are quicker to form and harder to undo (Baumeister, Bratslavsky, Finkenauer, & Vohs, 2001). In the clinical context, negatives, because of their apparent greater informational value, typically receive more attention and form more complex cognitive representations (Peeters & Czapinski, 1990).

Psychotherapy, responding to discernible psychological distress of clients, has done well. It significantly outperforms placebo and in many cases, psychotherapy fares better in the long run than medications (Castonguay, 2013; Leykin & DeRubeis, 2009). However, effectiveness of psychotherapy can be improved. First, clinical psychology and psychotherapy have traditionally been about deficits and remediations (Maddux, 2008). Watkins has noted, ‘It [psychotherapy] can also be about optimization and transformation’ (2010, p. 198). Peterson’s seminal work on character strengths offers psychotherapy a tremendous opportunity to expand its scope, making it more inclusive and balanced. Doing so may be necessary because the use of psychotherapy declined from 15.9 to 10.5% from 1998 to 2008, whereas during the same period, the use of psychotropic medications increased from 44.1 to 57.4% (Olfson & Marcus, 2010). Some individuals, especially those who could benefit more from psychotherapy, avoid it due to the stigma of being labeled with a psychiatric diagnosis (Corrigan, 2004). Integration of strengths within the complex and often negatively skewed narrative may resocialize potential clients to perceive that psychotherapy is not only about untwisting their distorted thinking or restoring their troubled relationships; it is also about
learning to use one’s strengths, skills, talents, and abilities to face challenges. Even before the current movement of positive psychology, researchers recognized the important of assessing and using clients’ strengths of to gain their cooperation and acceptance of therapy (Conoley, Padular, Payton, & Daniels, 1994). Second, the effectiveness of psychotherapy is primarily assessed by symptom remittance, while variables such as quality of life or personal recovery are not commonly considered as part recovery (Rapaport, Clary, Fayyad, & Endicott, 2005). In recent years, the concept of recovery has been expanded to include hope, a meaningful and fulfilling life, a positive sense of identity, and taking responsibility for one’s own wellbeing (Slade, 2010). Strengths-Based Case Management (SBCM; Rapp & Goscha, 2006) is an illustration. Studies of SBCM, including a number of randomized controlled trials (RCTs) and quasi-experimental designs, have reported a range of positive outcomes including reduced hospitalization and increased social support (Rapp & Goscha, 2006). Third, psychotherapists have inherent vulnerability to burnout, which is characterized by emotional exhaustion, depersonalization, and lack of personal accomplishment. These harmful consequences adversely impact the quality of their therapeutic work (Rosenberg & Pace, 2006). Burnout could occur due to multiple reasons. One of them is when available resources are too limited to meet the demand of work (Hobfoll, 1989). Understanding client’s challenges, deficits, dysfunction, and disorders in tandem with their assets, strengths, skills, and abilities may not only offer clients additional therapeutic possibilities, it also helps psychotherapists to be more effective and have a greater sense of accomplishment, which could buffer against burnout. In a psychotherapy study, Flückiger and Grosse Holtforth (2008) primed therapists’ attention on clients’ strengths (resource priming) before each of five therapy sessions. Results showed that resource activation, as perceived by independent observers, improved therapy outcome at session 20. Cheavens, Strunk, Sophie Lazarus, and Goldstein (2012) found that personalizing Cognitive Behaviour Therapy (CBT) to client’s relative strengths led to better outcome than CBT personalized to client’s relative deficits.

Assumptions & theory

PPT has three assumptions about the nature, cause, course, and treatment of specific behavioral patterns. First, psychopathology results when clients’ inherent capacities for growth, fulfillment, and wellbeing are thwarted by psychological and sociocultural factors. Well-being and psychopathology do not reside entirely inside clients, but derive from a complex interaction between clients and their environment. When this interaction becomes dysfunctional, clients’ growth is thwarted and they experience symptoms of psychiatric distress. In other words, psychopathology surfaces when growth and wellbeing are diminished. Psychotherapy offers a unique opportunity to realize or revitalize potential and growth of clients. Reflection about negative aspects of one’s life is important, but growth happens through assessing, acknowledging, and building strengths. Evidence shows that strengths can play a key role in growth even in dire life circumstances (Seery, Holman, & Silver, 2010). Second, PPT considers positive emotions and strengths to be as authentic and as real as symptoms and disorders, and they are valued in their own right. Strengths are neither defenses nor Pollyannaish illusions. Attributes such as honesty, co-operation, gratitude, and kindness are as real as deception, competition, grudge, greed, and worry. The absence of mental illness does not necessarily mean the presence of well-being (Keyes & Eduardo, 2012). Amelioration of symptoms will not engender well-being per se. However, amplifications of strengths may make lives of clients satisfying and fulfilling and which in turn, may buffer against future recurrence of symptoms.

The third and final assumption is that effective therapeutic relationships can be formed through the discussion of positive personal characteristics and experiences. Not all clients need or will benefit from deep and protracted analysis and discussions of their troubles. The media portrayal of psychotherapy has reinforced the belief that therapy exclusively entails talking about troubles, ventilating bottled-up emotions, and recovering self-esteem. It not only maintains an unhelpful stigma about mental health, it also reinforces a belief in clients that they are somehow deeply flawed or fragile. It is not that troubles are not worth discussing, but powerful therapeutic bonds can also be built by deeply discussing positive emotions and experiences (Burton & King, 2004). Scheel, Davis, and Henderson (2012), through a qualitative study examining therapists’ use of client strengths, found that a strength-based approach helped therapists in building trusting relationships and motivated clients by instilling hope.

PPT is primarily based on Seligman’s conceptualization of happiness and well-being (Seligman, 2002, 2011). Seligman sorts highly subjective notions of happiness and well-being into five scientifically measurable and manageable components: (i) Positive emotion, (ii) Engagement, (iii) Relationships, (iv) Meaning and (v) Accomplishment, with the first letters of each component forming the mnemonic PERMA (Seligman, 2011). This list of elements is neither exhaustive nor exclusive, but it has been shown that fulfillment in these elements and is associated with lower rates of depression and higher life satisfaction (Bertisch, Rath, Long, Ashman, & Rashid, 2014; Headey, Schupp, Tucci, & Wagner, 2010; Lamont, 2011; Sirgy & Wu, 2009). It should also be noted that
Peseschkian in Germany has also worked on *Positive Psychotherapy* for more than 20 years and is distinct from PPT discussed in this article. Peseschkian’s approach to therapy is inherently and systematically integrative, incorporating cross-cultural, multidisciplinary, therapeutically, and psychologically intertheoretic (Peseschkian, 2000). PPT on the other hand is rooted in the current movement of positive psychology.

**How does PPT work?**

The following section describes operationalization of PERMA in concrete PPT exercises and explains the process of conducting these exercises. PPT exercises and their relationship with various character strengths, postulated by Chris Peterson and Seligman (2004), are presented in Table 1. Definitions of these character strengths are given in Table 2. PPT was initially validated with clients experiencing moderate to severe symptoms of depression in individual and group settings (Seligman, Rashid, & Parks, 2006). PPT can be a standalone treatment, its protocol can be adapted to meet specific needs or its exercises can be incorporated in other treatment approaches. Pilot studies listed in Table 3, have applied PPT to treat symptoms of depression, anxiety, psychosis, borderline personality disorder, and to support smoking cessation.

PPT can be divided into three phases. The first phase focuses on exploring a balanced narrative of the client and exploration of her/his signature strengths from multiple perspectives. These signature strengths are operationalized into personally meaningful goals. The middle phase focuses on cultivating positive emotions and adaptively dealing with negative memories. The final phase include exercises on fostering positive relationships and meaning and purpose.

The therapeutic relationship is one of the most curative factors of psychotherapy (Norcross, 2002). From the onset, the therapist empathically listens to clients’ concerns to build and maintain a trusting therapeutic relationship. Meanwhile, the therapist searches for opportunities to help clients identify and own their strengths. Throughout PPT, negatives are balanced with positives; for instance, a discussion of some perceived offense or personal injustice is balanced with recall of recent acts of kindness shown to clients. Pain associated with trauma is empathetically attended, but potential for growth from trauma is also explored, whenever appropriate. Exploring and amplifying strengths doesn’t come at the cost of dismissing or minimizing problems and weaknesses.

Recall of positive memories plays an important role in mood regulation (Joormann, Dkane, & Gotlib, 2006). Such a recall allows individuals to ‘savor’ these positive emotions (Bryant & Veroff, 2006). Fitzpatrick and Stalikas (2008) posit that positive emotions, especially in the early phase of therapeutic process, powerfully predict therapeutic change by enabling clients to consider new ideas and perspective and can build long-term cumulative resources. If such a recall is initiated at the onset of the therapy, positive emotions are likely to be generated. To facilitate this process, after empathically attending to clients’ presenting concerns, they are encouraged to introduce themselves through a real-life story that called for the best in their lives in order to accomplish something personally meaningful, or through a story of overcoming a significant challenge or adversity (Rashid & Ostermann, 2009). The exercise, known as the *Positive Introduction*, in the group setting is found to be motivating for others and also builds trust among group members. Clients often start this exercise in the session but then complete it as homework using a more structured worksheet. Clients are encouraged to draw parallels from the story to their current life situations. Without providing any list of strengths, they are asked to think about strengths depicted in their stories. The goal is to help clients have a narrative that encapsulates their complexities of deficits and of strengths. Through *Positive Introduction* clients not only are able to tell and retell their stories; with the therapist’s guidance, they may also be able to integrate parts of the self that might have slipped from their awareness due to cognitive rigidities, emotional instability, or relational insecurities. Clients are encouraged to make the narrative more personally meaningful and somewhat relevant to their current challenges. This is facilitated through several multimedia illustrations, stories and case illustrations.

After the Positive Introduction, PPT focuses on character strengths. Rather than a simple and straightforward approach of identifying and using more of top five strengths, PPT adapts a comprehensive strength assessment approach. Clients first read brief descriptions of 24 strengths, without their titles/names, and select (not rank) five that best describe their personality. Clients also ask to have two significant others (a family member and/or a friend) to confidentially complete a similar measure and return the worksheet to clients in sealed envelopes. Clients then complete the online self-report measure *Values in Action Inventory of Strengths* (VIA; Peterson & Seligman, 2004), which upon completion offers feedback about their top five strengths. Data from all these sources is aggregated to determine client’s signature strengths. Therapists encourage clients to share memories, experiences, real-life stories, anecdotes, accomplishments, and skills, which illustrate their signature strengths. At the same time, therapists invite clients to conceptualize their presenting issues as lack or excess of strengths (Table 2). In doing so, clients are encouraged to develop a key strength, *psychological flexibility* which is an ability to adapt to fluctuating situational demands, reconfiguring mental resources including strengths, shifting perspective,
Table 1. PPT: An overview of PPT model.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Session &amp; topic</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Character strength</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 1 Orientation to PPT.            | Psychological distress is discussed as lack of or diminished positive resources such as Positive emotions, Engagement, Relationships, Meaning, and Accomplishment (PERMA)  
**Exercise:** Positive Introduction: Clients write one page real-life story which called for the best in them and which ends positively, not tragically | Emotional Intelligence, Authenticity, Courage,          |
| Lack of positive resources       |                                                                                                                                                                                                            |                                                        |
| 2 Character strengths            | Character strengths are introduced. Notion of engagement and flow is discussed  
**Exercise:** Clients identify their signature strengths in-session and complete an online self-report measure at home  
Two others (a family member and a friend) also identify (not rank) their five most salient signature strengths | Emotional Intelligence, Perspective                     |
| 3 Signature strengths and positive emotions | Signature strengths are discussed. Clients compile their signature strengths profile incorporating various perspectives  
**Exercise:** Clients devise specific, measurable and achievable goals targeting specific problems. The benefits of positive emotion are discussed  
**Exercise:** Blessing Journal: Clients starts a journal to record three good things every night (big or small) | Creativity, Hope and Optimism, Gratitude                |
| 4 Good vs. bad memories          | The role of negative memories is discussed in terms of how they perpetuate psychological symptoms. The role of good memories is also highlighted  
**Exercise:** Clients write about feelings of anger and bitterness and their impact in perpetuating distress | Gratitude, Appreciation of Beauty and Excellence         |
| 5 Forgiveness                    | Forgiveness is introduced as a tool to transform anger and bitterness and to cultivate neutral or positive emotions  
**Exercise:** Clients describe a transgression, its related emotions and pledge to forgive the transgressor. Letter is not necessarily delivered | Forgiveness and Mercy, Kindness, Social intelligence, Self-regulation |
| 6 Gratitude                      | Gratitude is discussed as an enduring thankfulness. The roles of good and bad memories are discussed again, with an emphasis on Gratitude  
**Exercise:** Clients write and delivers in person a gratitude letter to someone he/she never properly thanked | Gratitude, Love, Social and Emotional Intelligence, Authenticity |
| 7 Mid-therapy check              | The forgiveness and gratitude assignments are followed up. Experiences related to the signature strengths and Blessing Journal activities discussed  
Clients and therapist discuss therapeutic gains and hurdle and ways to overcome these hurdles  
**Exercise:** Clients complete the Forgiveness and Gratitude assignments | Perseverance, Perspective, Self-regulation              |
| 8 Satisficing vs. maximizing      | Concepts of satisficing (good enough) and maximizing are discussed  
**Exercise:** Clients devise ways to increase satisficing | Self-regulation, Gratitude                              |
| 9 Hope and optimism              | Optimism and hope are discussed in detail. Clients think of times when important things were lost but other opportunities opened up  
**Exercise:** One Door Close, One Door Opened: Clients think of three doors that closed and then ask, What doors opened? | Hope & Optimism                                        |
| 10 Positive communication        | Active-Constructive – a technique of positive communication is discussed  
**Exercise:** Active-Constructive Responding: Clients to look for active-constructive opportunities | Love, Kindness, Curiosity, Social Intelligence          |
| 11 Signature strengths of others | The significance of recognizing and associating through character strengths of family members is discussed  
**Exercise:** Family Strengths Tree: Clients ask family members to take the complete signature strength measure. A family tree of strengths is drawn up and discussed at a gathering | Love, Social Intelligence                              |
| 12 Savoring                      | Savoring is discussed, along with techniques and strategies to safeguard against adaptation  
**Exercise:** Savoring Activity: Clients plan a savoring activity using specific techniques | Appreciation of Beauty and Excellence, Gratitude        |

(Continued)
and balancing competing desires, needs, and life domains (Kashdan & Rottenberg, 2010). In PPT, the psychotherapist helps clients to carefully re-conceptualize that certain challenges could be due to competing demands of two strengths (such as should one to honest or kind with a close friend who may be involved in unethical behavior); self-regulation in one domain of life (e.g. eating or exercise) may be associated with weak interpersonal relationships; fear of failure or giving up may lead to persisting with goals which may be unrealistic; forgiving loved ones for their transgression without a concrete behavior change may be compromised fairness (see Table 1 for more examples). These characteristic are adapted from Christ Peterson’s notion of conceptualizing psychopathology as Access (A), Opposite (O), and Exaggeration (E) (together, AOE) of character strengths (Peterson, 2006b).

One common features of psychological disorders is the inability to effectively regulate emotions and self-evaluations in different contexts (American Psychiatric Association, 2013; Kashdan & Rottenberg, 2010). PPT helps clients to regulate emotions and enhance self-evaluation in various contexts by teaching them nuanced, calibrated and contextualized use of both positives and negatives. For example, clients may be motivated to experience or even reinforce negative emotions because these may more useful than positive ones. Anger, frustration, or disappointment in close relationship may signal wrongdoing by the other person. Confidence about completing an important task, without optimal level of anxiety may turn into procrastination. Avoiding the acknowledgment of loss and grief and resorting to unhealthy coping means (e.g. drugs, sex, and shopping) may prevent clients from comprehending the meaning of loss and contemplating a revised personal narrative that may be necessary for adaptive coping. PPT does not necessarily ask clients to use specific strengths more; rather, it engages clients in deeper reflection of when and how expression of specific strengths could be adaptive or maladaptive (Biswas-Diener, Kashdan, & Minhas, 2011; Kashdan & Rottenberg, 2010).

Following the assessment of signature strengths, clients and therapist collaborate to set personally meaningful goals. Typically these are linked directly to reducing psychiatric distress, increasing well-being, and improving daily functioning. Clients and therapist agree to monitor progress and modify according to situational needs, and they regularly discuss an adaptive, calibrated, contextualized, and flexible use of signature strengths so that clients gradually learn skills to meet the varying needs of a diverse situations. Therapists continue to highlights that symptoms could also be explained either through lack or excess of strengths. Due to limitations of space, instead of brief clinical vignettes, following are some illustrations from author’s first hand clinical experience of helping clients to conceptualize symptoms. Feeling hopeless or slow as a result of lack of zest and playfulness; worrying excessively due to a lack of gratitude or inability to let go; indecision from lack of determination; repetitive intrusive thoughts due to lack of mindfulness; narcissism due to lack of modesty; feeling inadequate as lack of self-efficacy; and difficulty making decisions because of an excess of prudence. Furthermore, therapists also point out that sometimes clients get into trouble for overuse of love and forgiveness (being taken for granted), underuse of self-regulation in a specific domain of life (indulgence), or fairness only in few situations or teamwork only with preferred groups (bias and discrimination). Throughout the course of therapy, clients and therapists monitor progress towards goals and make necessary changes as well as continuously explore the nuances and subtleties of strengths, especially about encountering their challenges through strengths. Clients learn to identify their troubling emotions and memories by harnessing their social intelligence; to tone down grudges by accessing positive memories of specific situations, individuals, or experiences; and that instead of avoiding difficult situations, they need to muster courage and self-regulation to face them.

Whereas personalized goals using signature strengths aim to reduce symptomatic distress, a number of PPT exercises explicitly focus on cultivating positive emotions such as gratitude, savoring, and playfulness. Whereas negative emotions narrow cognitive, attentional, and physiological resources to deal with an immediate threat,
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Character strengths</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Lacking/under use</th>
<th>Excess/over use</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Appreciation of beauty and excellence</td>
<td>Being moved deeply by beauty in nature, in art (painting, music, theatre, etc.) or in excellence in any field of life</td>
<td>Oblivion</td>
<td>Snobbery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Authenticity and honesty</td>
<td>Not pretending to be someone one is not; coming across as a genuine and honest person</td>
<td>Shallowness, phoniness</td>
<td>Righteousness</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bravery and valor</td>
<td>Overcoming fear to do what needs to be done; not give up in face of a hardship or challenge</td>
<td>Fears, easily scared</td>
<td>Foolhardiness, risk-taking</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Creativity and originality</td>
<td>Thinking of new and better ways of doing things; not being content with doing things in conventional ways</td>
<td>Conformity</td>
<td>Eccentricity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Curiosity, interest in the world and openness to experience</td>
<td>Being driven to explore things; asking questions, not tolerating ambiguity easily; being open to different experiences and activities</td>
<td>Disinterest, boredom</td>
<td>Nosiness</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fairness, equity and justice</td>
<td>Standing up for others when they are treated unfairly, bullied or ridiculed; day-to-day actions show a sense of fairness</td>
<td>Prejudice, partisanship</td>
<td>Detachment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forgiveness and mercy</td>
<td>Forgiving easily those who offend; not holding grudges</td>
<td>Mercilessness</td>
<td>Permissiveness</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gratitude</td>
<td>Expressing thankfulness for good things through words and actions; not take things for granted</td>
<td>Entitlement</td>
<td>Ingratiation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hope, optimism and future-mindedness</td>
<td>Hoping and believing that more good things will happen than bad ones; recovering from setbacks and taking steps to overcome them</td>
<td>Present orientation</td>
<td>Panglossism</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Humor and playfulness</td>
<td>Being playful, funny and uses humor to connect with others</td>
<td>Humourlessness</td>
<td>Buffoonery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kindness and generosity</td>
<td>Doing kind deeds for others, often without asking; helping others regularly; being known as a kind person</td>
<td>Indifference</td>
<td>Intrusiveness</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leadership</td>
<td>Organizing activities that include others; being someone others like to follow; being often chosen to lead by peers</td>
<td>Compliance</td>
<td>Despotism</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capacity to love and be loved</td>
<td>Having warm and caring relationships with family and friends; showing genuine love and affection through actions regularly</td>
<td>Isolation, detachment</td>
<td>Emotional promiscuity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Love of learning</td>
<td>Loving to learn many things, concepts, ideas, facts in school or on one’s own</td>
<td>Complacency, smugness</td>
<td>‘Know-it-all’-ism</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Modesty and humility</td>
<td>Not liking to be the center of attention; not acting as being special; admitting shortcomings readily; knowing what one can and cannot do</td>
<td>Footless self-esteem</td>
<td>Self-deprecation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Open-mindedness and critical thinking</td>
<td>Thinking through and examining all sides before deciding; consulting with others; being flexible to change one’s mind when necessary</td>
<td>Unreflective</td>
<td>Cynicism, skepticism</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perseverance, diligence and industry</td>
<td>Finishing most things; being able to refocus when distracted and completing the task without complaining; overcoming challenges to complete the task</td>
<td>Slackness, laziness</td>
<td>Obsessiveness, fixation, pursuit of unattainable goals</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perspective (wisdom)</td>
<td>Putting things together to understand underlying meaning; settling disputes among friends; learning from mistakes</td>
<td>Superficiality</td>
<td>Ivory tower, arcane and pedantic thinking</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prudence, caution and discretion</td>
<td>Being careful and cautious; avoid taking undue risks; not easily yielding to external pressures</td>
<td>Recklessness</td>
<td>Prudishness, stuffiness</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Religiousness and spirituality</td>
<td>Believing in God or higher power; liking to participate in religious or spiritual practices e.g. prayer, meditation … etc.</td>
<td>Anomie</td>
<td>Fanaticism</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self-regulation and self-control</td>
<td>Managing feelings and behavior well most of the time; following gladly rules and routines</td>
<td>Self-indulgence</td>
<td>Inhibition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social intelligence</td>
<td>Easily understanding others’ feelings; managing oneself well in social situations; displaying excellent interpersonal skills</td>
<td>Obstuness, cluelessness</td>
<td>Psycho-babbling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teamwork, citizenship and loyalty</td>
<td>Relating well with teammates or group members; contributing to the success of the group</td>
<td>Selfishness and rebelliousness</td>
<td>Mindless and automatic obedience</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zest, enthusiasm and energy</td>
<td>Being energetic, cheerful and full of life; being liked by others to hang out</td>
<td>Passivity, restraint</td>
<td>Hyperactivity</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Adapted from Peterson (2006b).*
Table 3. PPT: overview of pilot studies.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors and publication status</th>
<th>Intervention description and sample characteristics</th>
<th>Primary outcome measures</th>
<th>Key findings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Randomized</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 Seligman et al. (2006); published</td>
<td>Individual PPT; n = 11, 12–14 sessions, with clients diagnosed with Major Depressive Disorder (MDD), compared with Treatment as Usual (TAU; n = 9) &amp; Treatment as Usual plus medication (TAUMED; n = 12); under &amp; postgraduate students-seeking treatment at a university counseling center</td>
<td>Depression (ZDRS &amp; Hamilton), Overall psychiatric distress (OQ-45), Life Satisfaction (SWLS) &amp; Well-being (PPTI)</td>
<td>Post, Depression PPT &lt; TAU (ZDRS &amp; Hamilton, d = 1.12 &amp; 1.14) &amp; PPT &lt; TAUMED (ZDRS d = 1.22) &amp; Overall psychiatric distress (OQ-45 d = 1.13); Post Well-being PPT &gt; TAU &amp; TAUMED (d = 1.26 &amp; 1.03)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Seligman et al. (2006), published</td>
<td>Group PPT (n = 21) with clients experiencing mild-to-moderate depressive symptoms compared with no-treatment control (n = 21) in six sessions; undergraduate students at a university</td>
<td>Depression (BDI-II) &amp; Life Satisfaction (SWLS)</td>
<td>Post, Depression PPT &lt; Control (BDI-II, d = 0.48), and at 3, 6 &amp; 12 month follow ups (d = 0.67, 0.77 &amp; 0.57, respectively) with a reduction of 0.96 points per week (p &lt;.003), a rate of change that was significantly greater than that of the control group (p &lt;.05)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Parks-Schiener (2009), dissertation</td>
<td>Individual (n = 52) completing six PPT exercises online, compared with no treatment control group (n = 69), Online sample</td>
<td>Depression (CES-D), Life Satisfaction (SWLS) &amp; Positive and Negative affect (PANAS)</td>
<td>Post, Depression (CES-D d = 0.21, at the six-month follow-up); Post, PPT &gt; Positive &amp; Negative Affect (d =0.16, 0.33 &amp; 0.55 at three and six month follow-up, respectively)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 Lü, Wang, and Liu (2013), published</td>
<td>Group PPT (n = 16), (2 h for 16 weekly sessions), compared with a no treatment control group (n = 18), exploring the impact of positive affect on vagal tone in handling environmental challenges</td>
<td>Positive and negative affect (PANAS) &amp; Respiratory Sinus Arrhythmia (RSA)</td>
<td>Depression, PPT &lt; Control, at the six-month follow-up (d = 0.21); Positive &amp; Negative Affect, PPT &gt; control, at the post-intervention, three and six month follow-ups (d = 0.16, 0.33 &amp; 0.55, respectively)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 Rashid, Anjum et al. (2013), published</td>
<td>Group PPT (n = 9), 8 sessions, with grade 6 &amp; 7 students compared with no treatment control (n = 9) at a public middle school</td>
<td>Social Skills (SSRS), Student Satisfaction (SLSS), Well-being (PPTI-C) &amp; Depression (CDI)</td>
<td>Post, PPT &gt; Social Skills (SSRS-Composite-parent version (d = 1.88) and also on PPTI-C (d =0.90))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 Reinsch (2012), dissertation</td>
<td>Group PPT clients (n = 9), six sessions with clients seeking psychotherapy through Employee Assistance Program, compared with no treatment control group (n = 8)</td>
<td>Depression (CES-D) &amp; Well-being (PPTI)</td>
<td>Post, Depression (CES-D d = 0.84). Therapeutic gains maintained one month post-intervention while no treatment control with depression decreasing a statistically significant rate of 45%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 Rashid, Ulaszek et al. (2013),</td>
<td>Group PPT (n = 6) compared group Dialectical Behavior Therapy (DBT; n = 10) with clients diagnosed with Borderline Personality Disorder at a university health center</td>
<td>Depression (SCID), Psychiatric Symptoms (SCL-90), Emotion Regulation (DER), Distress Tolerance (OTS), Mindfulness (KIMS), Well-being (PPTI) &amp; Life Satisfaction (SWLS)</td>
<td>Both PPT &amp; DBT differed significantly from pre-treatment on most measures with an average effect size of d = 1.15 &amp; 1.18, respectively; DBT &gt; PPT (DERS d = 1.44)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 Asgharipoor, Farid, Arshadi, and Sahebi (2010), published</td>
<td>Group PPT (n = 9) for 12-weeks, with clients diagnosed with MDD, compared with Cognitive Behavior Therapy (CBT), also for 12 weeks, in a hospital affiliated psychological centre in Mashhad, in Iran</td>
<td>Depression (SCID &amp; BDI-II), Happiness (OTS), Life Satisfaction (SWLS) &amp; Psychological Well-being (SWS)</td>
<td>Post, Happiness, PPT &gt; CBT (OTS, d =1.86). On most measures both treatments did not differ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Non-randomized</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 Cuadra-Peralta et al. (2010), published</td>
<td>Group PPT (n = 8) in nine sessions with clients diagnosed with depression, compared with behavioral therapy (n = 10) at a community center in Chile</td>
<td>Depression (BDI-II &amp; CES-D), Happiness (AHI)</td>
<td>Post, Happiness (AHI, PPT &gt; Behaviour Therapy (d = 0.72); PPT group &lt; on Depression, from pre-topost-treatment (BDI-II, d = 0.90 &amp; CES-D d = 0.93)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Continued)
positive emotions not only undo effects of negative emotions but also expand cognitive researches resources (Fredrickson, 2001, 2009). Emerging evidence supports this assertion (Jislin-Goldberg, Tanay, & Bernstein, 2012). Therefore, throughout the course of PPT, therapists not only help clients to observe, acknowledge and label positive emotions but also discuss with clients new possibilities of generating alternative ways of solving their problems when clients experience positive emotions.

PPT exercises such as Positive Introduction, Gratitude Journal, Gratitude Letter & Visit, One Door Close, and One Door Open facilitate cultivation of positive emotions throughout the course of therapy. In the Gratitude Journal, clients, just before going to bed, write three good things—small or big—that happened during the course of the day. Most clients find this helpful not only in coping with negative experiences but also in cementing relationships through explicitly noticing the kind acts and gestures of friends and family. Kashdan, Julian, Merritt, and Uswatte (2006) in a diary study with the Vietnam War veterans diagnosed with Post-traumatic Stress Disorder (PTSD), found that gratitude related to more daily self-esteem and positive affect, above the effects of symptomatology. In addition to gratitude, through PPT exercises such as Satisficing versus Maximizing (Schwartz, Ward et al., 2002) and Savoring (Bryant & Veroff, 2006) clients learn to deliberately slow down and enjoy experiences they would normally hurry through (e.g. eating a meal). When the experience is over, clients reflect and write down what they did, and how they felt differently compared to when they rushed through it.

Flourishing individuals, according to Fredrickson’s positivity ratio (2009), experience three positives for every one negative. Depressed individuals seeking therapy experience lower than one positive for every one negative (Schwartz et al., 2002). Inevitably clients presenting for therapy report a range of negative emotions. After helping clients to actively and authentically cultivating positive emotions, which relieve acute psychiatric distress, in the middle phase of the therapy clients are encouraged to write down grudges, bitter memories, or resentment and then discuss in therapy the effects of holding onto them. Through positive reappraisal, PPT aims to help clients unpack their grudges and resentments through what it calls Positive Appraisal (Rashid & Seligman, 2013). It includes four strategies: (i) psychological space: write a bitter memory rather than reacting; and (iv) diversion: intentionally

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors and publication status</th>
<th>Intervention description and sample characteristics</th>
<th>Primary outcome measures</th>
<th>Key findings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10 Bay and Csillic (2012), dissertation</td>
<td>Group PPT (n=10) compared with Group Cognitive Behavior Therapy (n=8) &amp; medication (n=8) with client experiencing symptoms of depression at the le Centre de la Dépression et Dépression, in France</td>
<td>Depression (BDI-Shortened), Depression &amp; Anxiety (HADS), Happiness (SHS), Emotional Inventory (EQ-I), Life Satisfaction (SWLS) &amp; Positive and Negative Affect (PANAS)</td>
<td>Post, Depression, PPT &lt; CBT (d=0.66), Happiness (SHS, d=0.81), Life Satisfaction (SWLS; d=0.66), Optimism (LOT-R, d=1.62) &amp; Emotional Intelligence (EQ-I, d=1.04). On most measures both PPT and CBT fared better than medication group.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 Meyer, Johnson, Parks, Ivanski, and Penn (2012), published</td>
<td>Group PPT in ten sessions, with six exercises was adapted for clients (n=16) experiencing symptoms of schizophrenia at a hospital affiliated clinic, with baseline, post-intervention, three month follow-up assessment</td>
<td>Psychological Well-being (SWS), Savoring (SBI), Hope (DHS), Recovery (RAS), Symptoms (BSI) &amp; Social Functioning (SFS)</td>
<td>Post, PPT &lt; CBT, Depression (BDI d=0.66), Happiness (SHS, d=0.81), Life Satisfaction (SWLS d=0.66), Optimism (LOT-R d=1.62) &amp; EQ-I (d=1.04). In most cases both PPT and CBT fared better than medication group.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 Kahler et al. (2014), published</td>
<td>Individual PPT (n=19), in eight sessions was integrated with smoking cessation counseling and nicotine patch with at a community medical center</td>
<td>Depression (SCID, CES-D), Nicotine Dependence (FTND), Positive and Negative Affect (PANAS) &amp; Client Satisfaction (CSQ-8)</td>
<td>Rate of session attendance and satisfaction with treatment were high, with most participants reported using and benefitting from PPT exercises. Almost one-third (31.6%) of the sample sustained smoking abstinence for six months after their quit date.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13 Goodwin (2010) dissertation</td>
<td>Group PPT (n=11), in ten sessions explore if treatment increased relationship satisfaction among anxious and stressed individuals with a community sample at a training clinic</td>
<td>Anxiety (BAI), Stress (PSS), relationship adjustment (DAS)</td>
<td>Post, PPT &lt;, Anxiety (BAI d=1.48), Stress &lt; (PSS d=1.22), no changes on relationship satisfaction (DAS).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
engage behaviorally in an unrelated or playful task. Clients are also invited to consider the process of forgiveness. However, PPT spends one session each on positive appraisal and forgiveness, as the goal here is to support clients’ strength-based well-being. It is not uncommon for exercises employed in PPT to generate negative and uncomfortable emotions, some of which could be associated with trauma. Much like any psychotherapy, PPT attends to all varieties of emotional experiences. However, while empathetically attending to pain associated with traumatic experiences, PPT gently encourages clients to also explore meaning and psychological growth (Bonanno & Mancini, 2012) through exercises such as One Door Closes, One Door Opens Writing a Positive Legacy. Therapists are to avoid too quickly pointing out the positive outcomes from experiences with a more positive mindset, and to reframe symptoms helps clients to learn how to encounter negative trauma, loss, or adversity. Incorporating strengths with avoidance of negatives.

The third and final phase of PPT exercises continues to use client’s strengths, but focus is on placed meaning and purpose and ways signature strengths can be used to serve something meaningful and bigger than oneself. One exercise, positive communication, teaches clients ways to validate and capitalize on precious moments when their partners share good news with them (Gable, Reis, Impett, & Asher, 2004). Others such as Gift of Time help clients to pursue meaning and purpose by using their strengths, such as strengthening close interpersonal and communal relationships or pursuing artistic, intellectual, or scientific innovations or philosophical or religious contemplation (Stillman & Baumeister, 2009; Wrzesniewski, McCauley, Rozin, & Schwartz, 1997).

There is solid evidence that having a sense of meaning and purpose helps individuals to recover or rebound quickly from adversity and buffer against feelings of hopelessness and uncontrollability (Graham, Lobel, Glass, & Lokshina, 2008; Lightsey, 2006).

Some caveats are in order. Despite its title and emphasis on cultivation of strengths, PPT is not prescriptive. Rather, it is descriptive in the sense that converging scientific evidence indicates that certain benefits accrue when individuals attend to the positive aspects of their experience. Wood and Tarrier (2010), in a longitudinal study of 5500 individuals, have shown that people who were low on characteristics such as self-acceptance, autonomy, purpose in life, positive relationships with others, environmental mastery, and personal growth were up to seven times more likely to meet the cut-off for clinical depression 10 years later. Much like CBT, which shows that clients’ distorted thinking causes and maintains depression and then counsels them to change it, PPT states that experiencing certain emotions is detrimental or beneficial to one’s well-being.

Second, PPT is not a panacea and will not be appropriate for all clients in all situations. Clinical judgment is needed to determine the suitability of PPT for individual clients. For example, a client with an inflated self-perception may use strengths to further support his/her narcissism. Likewise, a client with a deeply entrenched sense of being a victim may feel too comfortable in that role, and may benefit from an insight oriented approach to ascertain the emotional pro and cons of this role first and then could perhaps benefit from PPT exercises. For some disorders, elimination of symptoms is much more needed than cultivation of strengths. For example, a client with symptoms of panic disorder needs an immediate relieve from exposure or a client with symptoms of eating disorder may need structured therapeutic interventions that address acuteness of symptoms first. A client experiencing grief and acute trauma would benefit from interventions that help him/her to cope with sadness and stress.

Third, a therapist using PPT also should not expect a linear progression of improvement, because the motivation to change longstanding behavioral and emotional patterns fluctuates during the course of therapy. The progress of one client should not bias therapists about the likely progress (or lack of) of another client. The mechanism of change in PPT has not been explored systematically, but inferring from the change of mechanism uncovered by Lyubomirsky and Layous (2013) about positive interventions, it can be argued that change brought by positive interventions could be moderated by level of symptom severity, individual personality variables (motivation, effort), flexibility in completing and practicing the exercises and skills, and overall client intervention fit. Nonetheless, the therapist must also be aware that change is not due to expectancy effect. Finally, it is important to be aware of cultural sensitivities in assessing strengths. An emotive style of communication, interdependence on extended family members, and avoiding direct eye contact may convey zest, love, and respect (Pedrotti, 2011).

Positive psychology has been criticized for not exploring people’s troubles deeply enough and steering people quickly towards well-being and strengths without comprehending the contextual features of the presenting situations (Coyne & Tennen, 2010; Ehrenreich, 2009; McNulty, & Fincham, 2012). As underscored throughout this paper, PPT, does not deny negative emotions, nor does it encourage clients to search for positives all too quickly through rose-colored glasses. It is a scientific endeavor to encourage clients to explore their intact resources and learn contextual, nuanced and calibrated use of these resources to overcome their challenges in increments but never at the cost of denying, dismissing or avoiding negatives.
Empirical evidence, caveats, and future directions

PPT’s empirical support has been found in several (albeit pilot) studies. In a 6-group, random-assignment, placebo controlled Internet study, Seligman, Steen, Park, and Peterson (2005) found that of 5 purported happiness interventions and 1 plausible control exercise, three exercises (using signature strengths in a new way, three good things & gratitude visit) increased happiness and decreased depressive symptoms. These findings have since been independently replicated with somewhat similar results (Giannopoulos & Vella-Brodrick, 2011; Mongrain & Anselmo-Matthews, 2012). Exploring the finer aspects of PPT exercises, Schueller (2010) has found that it is a person’s internal characteristics that make a particular positive psychology intervention more or less beneficial. Table 3 lists thirteen pilot and feasibility studies, with small samples. All have explicitly used the PPT manual (Rashid & Seligman, in press; Seligman et al., 2006) as a packaged treatment. Most have offered PPT as a group intervention, with eight randomized controlled pilot studies, nine published in peer reviewed journals, and three dissertations. Seven of these studies treated community samples (outpatients in hospital settings, community mental health clinics) from Canada, China, Chile, France, Iran, and the United States, addressing clinical concerns including depression, anxiety, borderline personality disorder, psychosis, and nicotine dependence. Four studies have compared PPT with two other treatments, Dialectical Behavior Therapy (DBT) and Cognitive Behavior Therapy (CBT). Due to space limitation, only salient studies are summarized. The first randomized controlled pilot (Seligman et al., 2006) included two studies, a six-session controlled group therapy for participants experiencing mild to moderate symptoms of depression and 12–14 session individual therapy for a clinical sample experiencing severe symptoms of depression. The individual therapy compared PPT with Treatment as Usual (TAU) and clients who received TAU, as well as antidepressant medication (TAUMED). These clients sought counseling services at a large urban university for symptoms of severe depression. PPT took place over up to 14 sessions, mostly weekly, to individual clients in 12–14 sessions. It was custom tailored to meet their circumstances and the feasibility of completing the exercises. The TAU received an integrative and eclectic approach administered by licensed psychologists, two licensed social workers, and two graduate-level interns. Overall, results indicated that PPT did better than two active treatments, with large effect size. These initial results were highly preliminary with small sample sizes, and treatment was offered by some intrinsically interested and trained in PPT. More recently, Asgharipoor and colleagues (2012) compared PPT with CBT (Registration ID in IRCT: 201201268829NI). Eighteen outpatients diagnosed met the inclusion criteria, which included having major depressive disorder as identified by SCID (Axis I. DSM-IV), BDI-II (Beck, Steer, & Brown, 1996; a Persian validated version), Subjective Units of Distress Scale (SUDS), Oxford Happiness Scale, and Subjective Wellbeing Scale. The PPT (n = 9) and CBT (n = 9) were offered in 12 two-hour sessions at a community counseling center in Mashhad, Iran. Results showed that the two treatments did not differ in reducing symptoms of depression, but PPT was found more effective in increasing happiness. These results are somewhat consist with the ongoing study in which PPT is compared with DBT. Participants are identified after completing SCID and multiple measures of psychiatric distress and emotional dysregulation (see Table 3). Results of the first phase show that both PPT (n = 6) and DBT (n = 10) worked equally well on most measure, but DBT performed better on measured distress tolerance. However, due to small sample size, these results are highly preliminary. Lü, Wang, and Liu (2013) compared PPT with a control group. PPT (n = 16) offered in 16 two hour weekly sessions was compared with no treatment control (n = 18). The outcome was impact of positive affect on vagal tone in handling environmental challenges. PPT did significantly better than the control group at post-intervention, three-, and six-month follow-up with medium effect sizes. Reinsch (2012) offered PPT (n = 9) in six sessions to clients seeking psychotherapy through Employee Assistance Program and compared it with no treatment (n = 8). Results indicated that significant decrease in depression at the post-intervention and therapeutic gains were maintained one month post-treatment with a statistically significant 45% decrease in depression. PPT has also been adapted for various disorders and clinical conditions. Kahler et al. (2014) adapted PPT for smoking cessation (PPT-S). Treatment was offered through individual sessions. Results show that rates of session attendance and satisfaction with treatment were high, and most participants reported using and benefiting from the PPT exercises. Almost one-third of the participants (31.6%) sustained smoking abstinence for six months after their quit date. A manualized adaptation of standard 14-session PPT called WELLFOCUS PPT has been developed at Kings College, London. It aims to increase well-being in service users with an experience of psychosis. The adaptation process synthesized systematic review evidence and qualitative research involving people with a psychosis diagnosis who use mental health services (Schrank et al., 2013). The evaluation of WELLFOCUS PPT in an RCT with 11 groups has been completed (ISRCTN 04199273) and the manuscript is in submission. PPT pilot studies, listed in Table 3, overall, report decrease in depression and increase in well-being compared to control or pre-treatment scores, with medium to large effect sizes. All effect sizes Cohen’s d (Cohen, 1992) are given in Table 3. When
compared to another treatment such as CBT or DBT, PPT performed equally well or exceeded notably on well-being measures (e.g. Asgharipoor, Farid, Arshadi, & Sahebi, 2010; Cuadra-Peralta, Veloso-Besio, Pérez, & Zúñiga, 2010). One important caution in reviewing these studies is their small sample sizes. The study at the Kings College, London with 11 randomized groups will have with the largest sample administering PPT to date.

Positive interventions typically are one or more positive psychology exercises, often, but not always, used with non-clinical and randomized online samples. Typical illustration of positive interventions would be Seligman et al. (2005), Vella-Brodrick, Park, and Peterson (2009), Mongrain and Anselmo-Mathews (2012) and Schueller & Parks (2012). Two meta-analyses of positive interventions have been published. The first meta-analysis of 51 positive interventions including both clinical and non-clinical samples, conducted by Sin and Lyubomirsky (2009), found that positive interventions are effective, with moderate effect sizes in significantly decreasing symptoms of depression (mean $r = 0.31$) and enhancing well-being (mean $r = 0.29$). The second meta-analysis, by Bolier and her colleagues (2013), reviewing 39 randomized heterogeneous published studies, totaling 6139 participants. Of these only seven included clinical samples. Authors found that positive interventions reduced depression (mean $r = 0.23$) with small effect size but enhanced well-being with moderate effect sizes ($r = 0.34$). Compared to more structured, manualized, sequential PPT that is used with clinical samples, positive interventions could benefit non-clinical patrons as well-being enhancing strategies that could prevent or reduce risk of future psychological disorders.

Empirical foundations of PPT are critical, but equally essential is establishing a repertoire of case studies, vignettes and illustrations of PPT exercises conducted as a packaged treatment, stand alone interventions, and incorporated with established treatments. This will help clinicians to understand day-to-day implementation of PPT. Few developments in this regard are worth noting. Journal of Clinical Psychology’s May, 2009 issue exclusively focused on positive interventions for clinical disorders with rich case illustrations. Burns (2010) has compiled a 27-chapter casebook, written by a leading practitioner of positive psychology. Each chapter provides a detailed case illustration regarding the clinical use of positive psychology, including PPT exercises with clients in distress. Most of the chapters offer step-by-step strategies. In addition to protocolled treatment packages, single positive interventions have also been applied to examine their effectiveness for specific clinical conditions, such as gratitude in undoing symptoms of depression (Wood, Maltby, Gillett, Linley, & Joseph, 2008), best possible self and three good things for depression (Pietrowsky, 2012), hope as a treatment of PTSD (Gilman, Schumm, & Chard, 2012), the therapeutic role of spirituality and meaning in psychotherapy (Steger & Shin, 2010), positive psychology interventions to treat drug abuse (Akthar & Boniwell, 2010), cultivation of positive emotions in treating symptoms of schizophrenia (Johnson et al., 2009), and forgiveness as a way of slowly letting go of anger (Harris et al., 2006). The role of positive interventions to supplement traditional clinical work is also being explored (e.g. Frisch, 2006; Harris, Thoresen, & Lopez, 2007; Karwoski, Garratt, & Ilardi, 2006; Ruini & Fava, 2009). Links between specific clinical conditions and strengths also been explored, including creativity and bipolar disorder (Murray & Johnson, 2010), positive psychology and brain injury (Evans, 2011), positive emotions and social anxiety (Kashdan et al., 2006), social relationships and depression (Oksanen, Kouvonen, Vahtera, Virtanen, & Kivimäki, 2010), various aspects of well-being and psychosis (Schrånk et al., 2013), positive psychology and war trauma (Al-Krenawi et al., 2011), school-based positive psychology interventions (Waters, 2011), and character strengths and mindfulness (Niemiec, Rashid, & Spinella, 2012). In addition, a number of online studies have effectively used PPT-based interventions with promising results (e.g. Parks, Della Porta, Pierce, Zilca, & Lyubomirsky, 2012; Mitchell, Stanišnović, Klein, & Vella-Brodrick, 2009; Schueller & Parks, 2012). This could be a relatively cost effective way of offering mental health services to nonclinical patrons as a preventative strategy. To help psychotherapists incorporate positive interventions in their clinical practice, a few books are available (e.g. Bannink, 2012; Conoley & Conoley, 2009; Flückiger, Wusten, Zinbarg, & Wampold, 2010; Joseph & Linley, 2006; Levak, Siegel, & Nichols, 2011; Linley & Joseph, 2004; Magyar-Moe, 2009; Proctor & Linley, 2013). Journal articles on theoretical foundation of strengths in the clinical practice have also been published (e.g. Dick-Niederhauser, 2009; Lent, 2004; Slade, 2010; Smith, 2006; Wong, 2006). An outcome measure, Positive Psychotherapy Inventory (PPTI), which can be used to assess specific active ingredients of PPT including positive emotions, engagement, meaningful, and relationships, has been devised and validated (Bertisch et al., 2014; Guney, 2011; Rashid, 2008).

Establishing efficacy or effectiveness of interventions takes decades of research, including open trial, case reports, then controlled pilots, and finally multisite studies. PPT has made a tentative but promising start. It has shown effectiveness, and requires discovering and identifying the mechanism of change. It is yet to establish its incremental effectiveness – over and beyond – the traditional approach and more clearly delineate outcomes that are theoretically and empirically related to its content. So far, PPT has mostly been used in group settings. There is a dearth of studies, which have used it in individual settings. Moving forward, longitudinal and multimethod (e.g. experiential
sampling, physiological, and neurological indices) research designs may uncover effectiveness of PPT for specific disorders. There is a lack of coherent theory that explains the epistemology of well-being, especially in clinical settings. Clinical practice often runs ahead of evidence. Yet evidence is keeps practice alive through, well defined and refined studies. PPT, without competing, complements the rich repertoire of therapeutic approaches to enrich the field.
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**Outcome Measures (in alphabetical order)**

*Beck Depression Inventory-II* (BDI-II; Beck, Steer, & Brown, 1996); *Beck Depression Inventory-II -Short Form* (BDI-SF; Chibnall & Tait, 1994); *Beck Anxiety Inventory* (BAI; Beck, Epstein, Brown, & Steer, 1988); *Brief Symptom Inventory* (BSI; Derogatis, 1993); *Centre for Epidemiological Studies for Depression* (CES-D; Radloff, 1977); *Children Depression Inventory* (CDI; Kovacs, 1992); *Client Satisfaction Questionnaire* (CSQ-8; Larsen, Attkisson, Hargreaves, & Nguyen, 1979); *Difficulties in Emotion Regulation* (DERS; Gratz & Roemer, 2004); *Distress Tolerance Scale* (DTS; Simons & Gaheer, 2005); *Dyadic Adjustment Scale* (DAS; Spanier, 1976); *Emotional Quotient inventory* (EQ-I; Dawda & Hart, 2000); *Fagerstrom Test for Nicotine Dependence* (FTND; Heatherton, Kozlowski, Frecker, & Fagerström, 1991); *Hamilton Rating Scale for Depression* (HRSD; Hamilton, 1960); *Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale* (HADS; Bjelland, Dahl, Haug, & Neckelmann, 2002); *Kentucky Inventory of Mindfulness Skills* (KIMS; Baer, Smith, & Allen, 2004); *Orientations to happiness* (Peterson, Park, & Seligman 2005); *Life Orientation Test-Revised* (LOT-R; Scheier, Carver, & Bridges, 1994); *Outcome Questionnaire-45* (OQ-45; Lambert et al., 1996); *Positive and negative Affect Schedule* (PANAS; Watson, Clark, & Tellegen, 1988); *Positive Psychotherapy Inventory* (PPTI; Rashid, 2005); *Positive Psychotherapy Inventory-Children Version* (PPTI-C; Rashid & Anjum, 2008); *Recovery Assessment Scale* (RAS; Corrigan, Salzer, Ralph, Sangster, & Keck, 2004); *Respiratory sinus arrhythmia* (RSA; Bernston et al., 1997; measures the degree of respiratory-linked variability in the heart rate); *Satisfaction with Life Scale* (SWLS; Diener, Emmons, Larsen, & Griffin, 1985); *Savoring Beliefs Inventory* (SBI; Bryant, 2003); *Scales of Well-being* (SWB; Ryff, 1989); *Social Skills Rating System* (SSRS; Gresham & Elliot, 1990); *Structured Clinical Interview for DSM-IV-Axis I* (SCID, First, Spitzer, Gibbon, & Williams, 2007); *Students’ Life Satisfaction Scale* (SLS; Huebner, 1991); *Symptom Checklist90-Revised* (SCL-90-R; Derogatis, 1994); *The social functioning scale* (SFS; Birchwood, Smith, Cochrane, & Wetton, 1990); *Values in Action* (VIA-Youth; Park & Peterson, 2006); *Zung Self-Rating Depression Scale* (ZRS; Zung, 1965).